



WORK PACKAGE SELF-ASSESSMENT REPORT¹

Project title	Development of master curricula for natural disasters risk		
	management in Western Balkan countries		
Project acronym	NatRisk		
Project reference number	573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP		
Coordinator	University of Nis		
Project start date	October 15, 2016		
Project duration	36 months		

Work Package reference	WP1 Analysis of natural disasters to be managed in Western	
number and title	Balkan region	
Work Package Lead	BOKU	
Partner		
Name of the responsible	Michael Tritthart	
person		

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

¹ This form concerns quality issues of NatRisk project. WP leaders should complete this form twice a year, in consultation with other active members of the WP team, and submit the report by email to s.priest@mdx.ac.uk and natriskuni@gmail.com by March 30th and Sept 30th each year. The reports will be reviewed by the Quality Assurance Committee and a short report will be prepared and submitted to the Project Management Committee.





1. Activities and achievements

Fill in the outputs/outcomes and indicators as per the Logical Framework Matrix of the WP during the review period. In the column "Achieved to date" describe all activities done in order to achieve the indicated output/outcome. Provide a short comment if necessary.

Outputs/ outcomes - LFM code	Indicator	Achieved to date	Comment
1.1 Report on natural	Report created -) Establishing contacts		
disasters in WB		-) Collecting data for	
		each country	
		-) Writing reports for	
		each country	
		-) Writing overall report	
		for WB countries	
1.2 Survey of	Survey report	-) Establishing contacts	
established practices	created	-) Collecting data for	
in EU countries for		each country	
NDRM		-) Writing reports for	
		each country	
		-) Writing overall report	
		for EU countries	
1.3 Report on master	Report created	-) Collecting data for	
curricula best practices		each country	
in EU partners and		-) Writing overall report	
Catalogue of		for EU countries	
competencies			

2. Problems encountered

Describe the main problems encountered and recommend a solution if possible

Outputs/ outcomes	Description of problem	Recommendation
1.1 Report on natural	-) Comparison of natural	-) Western Balkan countries are
disasters in WB	disasters is challenging,	recommended to improve and harmonize
	due to the different	their data bases
	existing data bases	
1.2 Survey of	-) Understanding of	
established practices	different national	
in EU countries for	authorities and their	
NDRM	responsibilities is quite	
	challenging, due to the	
	unique practices in effect	
	in nearly every EU	
	country	
1.3 Report on master	-) Comparison of master	





curricula best	programmes is difficult,	
practices in EU	due to the different	
partners and	structures of master	
Catalogue of	programmes in	
competencies	participating countries	

3. Changes

Present all changes to WP plans including postponing implementation deadlines

Outputs/ outcomes	Change of plan and likely implication for WP activities	Suggested actions	Date of notification to NatRisk coordinator
No changes.			

4. Brief summary

Summarize progress of activities against the implementation schedule (up to 100 words)

According to the scheduled activities in the LFM an overall report on the identification of natural disasters to be managed in WB was written (date of delivery: 14.3.2017), an overall report with established practices in EU countries for natural disaster risk managements was set up (date of delivery: 14.3.2017) and a workshop on master curricula best practices in EU countries was organized, which will be held between 5th and 7th of April 2017 at BOKU in Vienna.

Summarize progress against specific objective indicators from the logical framework matrix (up to 200 words)

The development and implementation of methodologies for identification of natural disasters, which have to be managed in WB regions, require a solid data base of existing information in these countries. Therefore unique reports per country including information about natural disasters were set up and summarized in an overall report for all WB countries. (WP1.1 - Date of overall report delivery: 14.3.2017)

An overview about existing master programmes in EU countries assists the development of master curricula in natural disaster risk management in WB countries. Therefore information about established practices for natural disaster risk management in EU countries including existing master curricula was collected, documented in unique reports per country and summarized in an overall report for all EU countries. (WP1.2 - Date of overall report delivery: 14.3.2017)

The collected information is to be presented in a workshop on natural disasters in WB countries and established practices for natural disaster risk management in EU countries focusing on EU master curricula. This workshop was organized in March 2017 and will be held at BOKU between 5th and 7th of April 2017.





Summarize main problems encountered and recommendations (up to 200 words)

For the development of harmonized natural disaster risk management strategies in WB countries including the development of corresponding master curricula a comparison of natural disasters in these countries is necessary. This comparison is challenging, due to the different existing data bases and therefore Western Balkan countries are recommended to improve and harmonize their data bases.

The established practices of natural disaster risk managements in EU countries is based on different national institutes and authorities. The understanding of these authorities and their responsibilities is quite challenging, due to the unique practices in effect in nearly every EU country.

The development of master curricula in natural disaster risk management in WB countries should be based on existing EU master programmes, but the comparison of these master programmes is difficult, due to the different structures of master programmes in participating countries. A harmonization of master programmes in line with the Bologna requirements is foreseen in the EU and therefore it is recommended to use the same standards for the development of new master curricula in WB countries.

Location, date

Signature

Vienna, 17/03/2017

Michael Truthart